Scanned 07/31/14 County Clerk's Office

RESOLUTION

ATTEST A TRUE COPY
SHERI CHAPMAN, COUNTY CLERK
CLAY COUNTY COMMISSION
PENELOPE BANHART, DEPUTY CLERK

OF THE CLAY COUNTY COMMISSION CLAY COUNTY, MISSOURI

2014-137

APPROVE RENEWAL RFP# 13-13 BENEFIT CONSULTING /BROKER SERVICES HUMAN RESOURCES

BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED BY THE COUNTY COMMISSION OF CLAY COUNTY, MISSOURI THAT, this Commission hereby approves the renewal of RFP# 13-13 in the amount of \$91,890.00 to Garry & Associates. The Presiding Commissioner is authorized to sign the attached contract (if applicable) and all documents to facilitate this order.

ADOPTED BY THE COUNTY COMMISSION OF CLAY COUNTY, MISSOURI, THIS 30 DAY OF _______, 2014.

Per RSMo. 50.660, I certify the unencumbered cash balance in Fund 100 is \$ \(\frac{150.90}{100.000} \) and the unencumbered appropriation in Fund 100 Account 680 Line Item 201 is \$ \(\frac{250.058}{100.000} \) as of the date and time stamp below.

Clay County Auditor Date: 13/154/14 Time: 9:45am

APPROVED!AS TO EORM AND LEGALITY:

COUNTY COUNSELOR

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER

ATTEST: (

COMNTY CLERK

WESTERN COMMISSIONER

VOTED NO

EASTERN COMMISSIONER





REQUEST FOR COMMISSION ACTION RES \boxtimes ORD \square # 2014-137 CONSENT \square REGULAR \boxtimes

Date: 6/04/2014

Department/Office: Human Resources

Immediate Action Required	: No 🔀	Yes If Y	es, reason?	
Work Session Date Request	red: <u>6/23/2014</u>	Business	s Session Date Requested: <u>6/3</u>	0/2014
Past Commission Action: F	Resolution #: <u>2013-183</u>	Date: <u>06</u>	6/03/2013	
Budgeted/Funds available ir Fund Name <u>General # 100</u> I Contract Amount Approx	Dept Name <u>Human Res</u>	ources# 680 Acct	Name Acct. & Consulting Se	rv. # 201 Budgeted Amount: 2014 - \$91,890
Capital Projects Encumbran Budget Transfer Needed: Within a fund: Between funds: (pink) Reappropriation: (gold)	(blue) \(\frac{1}{2} \)	No 🛭 \$	(attach)	
RFP Yes 🛛 #13-13	BID Yes 🔲 #		Prevailing Wage: Term & Supply:	Yes □ No ☒ Yes □ No ☒
Contract needed: Contract Attached:	Yes ⊠ No ☐ Yes ⊠ No ☐		Legal Review: Grant Review:	Yes ⊠ No □ Yes □ No ⊠
Public Hearing: Yes 🔲 No	Date:		Risk Mgmt Review	w: Yes □ No ⊠
Issue: Request renewal of R Inc. to provide Benefit Cons			rvices and agreement 2014-13	4-1R to Garry & Associates Insurance Ager
Purchasing Department prep the RFP was placed on Dem advertised in paper and post Proposals were evaluated an	pared a "Request for Propagation and Star, the County's Ed. Purchasing received at scored by a evaluation and scored by the evaluati	oposal" RFP. Fou Bid \RFP notification I seven (7) respons on committee. Tation committee.	rteen (14) potential contractor ion service where eight (8) ponses. The evaluation committee identications in the evaluation committee identication in the evaluation committee identication committee identication committee identication in the evaluation committee identication committee identication in the evaluation committee identication identication in the evaluation committee identication identicat	the Human Resources Department. The swere notified via County email. In addition tential contractors downloaded the RFP, tified four (4) contractors to be interviewed. & Associates Insurance Agency, Inc. is the
The evaluation criteria for b 1. Experience/references, 2. Expertise of contractor/ p 3. Applicable resources, 4. Project approach and, 5. Cost.	•	ncluded:		
For these reasons it is the re Insurance Agency, Inc.	commendation of the P	urchasing Departi	ment to recommend the agree	ment be awarded to Garry & Associates
Recommendation/Action ne (nc	eded: Request renewal	of RFP #13-13, B	Benefit Broker Consulting Serv	vices to Garry & Associates Insurance Agen
Public/Board/Staff Concerns	s: None			
Follow up: None				
Last Year's Cost: \$41,250.0	00 (6 months)			
			Human Resources, Purchasin K. Garry 135 E. 20 th Ave.,NK	
Laurie Bonk Requestor		eve Wright rchasing/Budget		e <u>an Brookshier</u> ounty Administrator

Scanned 07/31/14 County Clerk's Office

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Dean Brookshier

DATE:

July 24, 2014

TO:

Steve Wright

FROM:

Julie Lombard

RE:

Additional Reference Checks for Garry & Associates Insurance Agency concerning contract

resolution 2013-183 for Benefit Consulting / Broker Services

Additional reference check feedback was sought pertaining to the performance of Garry & Associates at the request of Commissioner Ridgeway before proceeding with renewal of the established contract.

I contacted a total of 3 references via email and received 2 responses. I sent all 3 reference contacts an Excel Reference Survey form that asked 15 performance statements concerning company's performance, where the reference contact was asked to rate on a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 indicating "Overall Inferior Performance" and a score of 5 indicating "Overall Excellent Performance". Attached is the survey responses received.

I emailed the following 3 References concerning Garry & Associates:

- 1. Louie Wright, retired/former President IAFF Local 42 with the City of Kansas City Missouri
- 2. Fred Siems, Chief Administrative Officer with Jackson County Missouri
- 3. Troy Schulte, City Manager, City of Kansas City, MO

The reference feedback I received summarized as follows:

Louie Wright, formerly with the City of Kansas City, Missouri and is now an Attorney at Law / adjunct Professor at UMKC School of Law, indicated in his email that Garry & Associates' performance is overall Outstanding/Excellent. Mr. Louie Wright even further states, "I enthusiastically and without reservation recommend and endorse Garry & Associates." His reference survey response listed all "5" ratings (Overall Excellent" to all fifteen (15) performance statements (see attached email and reference survey response).

Shelley M. Temple-Kneuvean, with Jackson County, Missouri indicated in her reference survey response a "5" rating for all of the 15 performance statements, which results in an Overall Excellent rating. She further stated, "We have had an excellent experience with Garry and Associates. Our rates and benefits are carefully tracked and we have had success with several creative approaches." And she further indicated that Jackson County would "Absolutely" utilize and recommend Garry & Associates Insurance Agency for future similar work.

Considering that Clay County has had an established contract with Garry & Associates and there is no documentation in the contract file of any issues concerning the contractor's performance, as well as, the highly positive reference feedback from Mr. Louie Wright as well as from Ms. Shelley Temple-Kneuvean, as indicated above, it appears that this is a company that is responsive to customer needs and fulfills contractual requirements with excellent quality workmanship and professional manner.

Scanned Cone Pamela

From: Louie A. Wright <a href="https://www.asarta.com/linear-align: right-linear-align: linear-align: linear-align: linear-align: right-linear-align: linear-align: linear

Sent: Thursday, July 03, 2014 11:10 AM

To: Lombard, Julie
Subject: FW: Louie Wright/Reference Check on Garry & Associates Insurance Agency

Attachments: Reference Survey_City of Kansas City Missouri.xls

Ms. Lombard:

I am happy to respond to the attached survey. Neither your survey, nor this communication, however, allows the opportunity to fully express my satisfaction and appreciation for the work that Garry & Associates (Garry) has and continues to perform for Kansas City's Health Care Trust.

It is instructive to realize that, at the outset, the Health Care Trust was a Committee comprised of City Elected Officials, Senior Administration, Mid-Level Management, Rank & File Employees and Union Representatives. This Committee ultimately evolved into formal establishment of the Health Care Trust. Below is a summary of key points that I believe you should consider.

- 1. Garry was engaged to assist in transforming the manner in which the City of Kansas City, Missouri (City) acquired and administered its health benefits program(s).
- 2. The several years preceding Garry's engagement, the City had regularly experienced double digit increases in its health insurance premiums. These premium increases were borne by the City and its employees.
- 3. The City, its employees and its unions had some of the following goals. Some of these goals, at the outset, appeared to be in conflict with each other.
 - a. Slow or stop the premium increases (City, E'ees & Unions).
 - b. Control abuses in the system (*i.e.*, over-utilization of emergency room treatment, "shadow" beneficiaries, abuse in the prescribing of meds, etc.) (City & Unions).
 - c. Maintain or enhance the level of benefits, plus no increase in copays (E'ees & Unions).
 - d. Establish and/or Enhance existing wellness program(s) (City, E'ees & Unions).
 - e. Increase focus on certain disease groups and disease management, as well as provide a greater focus on prevention(City, E'ees & Unions).
 - f. Provide more autonomy and transparency in the provision and administration of health care benefits (Unions).
 - g. Include rank and file employees and unions in every facet of oversight and administration of the program (E'ees & Unions).
 - h. Provide flexibility to adjust aspects of the program when it was deemed necessary or advisable(City, E'ees & Unions).

As you can imagine, addressing all of the above interests, while managing the diverse make up and opinions of the above-described stakeholders was no easy task. In this regard, Garry's facilitation skills proved as valuable as their technical and subject matter expertise. Much to the satisfaction of all, accomplishment or improvement was attained in all of the above-stated goals, along with much more.

A few of the concrete outcomes, include: three (3) or more years of no premium increases, with no reduction in benefits; subsequent premium increases well below averages for health care inflation; establishment of a Free Clinic for City Employees (service is free to employees and there is no charge to the City or the insurance program); one dollar \$1 co-pay for generic over the counter medications.

Spains to provide my perspective.

County Clerk's Office

As stated in the attached survey, I enthusiastically and without reservation recommend and endorse Garry & Associates. Please contact me if you have any questions or would like to discuss further any of the information I have provided.

Best regards,

LOUIE A. WRIGHT

Attorney at Law (Admitted in MO, KS & CO)
Adjunct Professor UMKC School of Law
Old Fire Station #1
1215 Union Avenue
Kansas City, Missouri 64101
and
1821 Wyandotte
Suite 200
Kansas City, Missouri 64108
law@iaff42.org (E-Mail)
816.509.4233 (Mobile)
816.221.5236 (Fax)

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This mail message and any accompanying attachments contain CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION belonging to the sender which is "ATTORNEY PRIVILEGED". The information is intended only for use of the INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY RECIPIENT NAMED ABOVE. If you are NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, you are hereby notified that any DISCLOSURE, COPYING, DISTRIBUTION OR THE TAKING OF ANY ACTION IN RELIANCE ON THE CONTENTS OF THIS MATERIAL IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. If you have received this message in error, PLEASE IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY THE SENDER AND THEN DELETE THE MESSAGE AND ATTACHMENTS FROM YOUR SYSTEM.

The Missouri Bar Disciplinary Counsel requires all Missouri attorneys to notify all recipients of e-mail that (1) e-mail communication is not a secure method of communication, (2) any e-mail that is sent to you or by you may be copied and held by various computers it passes through as it goes from me to you or vice versa, (3) persons not participating in our communication may intercept our communications by improperly accessing your computer or my computer or even some computer unconnected to either of us which the e-mail passed through. I am communicating to you via e-mail because you have consented to receive communications via this medium. If you change your mind and want future communications to be sent in a different fashion, please let me know AT ONCE.

From: Lombard, Julie [mailto:jlombard@claycountymo.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, July 01, 2014 11:07 AM

To: Louie A. Wright

Subject: Louie Wright/Reference Check on Garry & Associates Insurance Agency

Dear Mr. Louie Wright:

Last year, you were listed as a reference in Garry & Associates' proposal responses to Clay County's Request For Proposal (RFP) for Benefit Consulting / Broker Services. The Clay County Purchasing Department requests additional feedback regarding Garry & Associates' provision of services to City of Kansas City Missouri. Please see the attached Reference Survey form, complete it, and return the completed form back to me preferably no later than **Thursday**, **July 3, 2014** prior to 2:00 p.m.

I sincerely appreciate your feedback regarding your experiences with Garry & Associates and their Benefit Consulting/Broker Services. Please do not hesitate in contacting me concerning any questions you may have.

Sincerely,

RESOLUTION

OF THE CLAY COUNTY COMMISSION CLAY COUNTY, MISSOURI

2013-183

APPROVE AND AWARD RFP# 13-13 BENEFIT CONSULTING /BROKER SERVICES ADMINISTRATOR

BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED BY THE COUNTY COMMISSION OF CLAY COUNTY, MISSOURI THAT, this Commission hereby approve and award RFP# 13-13 with Garry & Associates. The Presiding Commissioner is authorized to sign the attached contract (if applicable) and all documents to facilitate this order.

ADOPTED BY THE COUNTY COMMISSION , 2013.	N OF CLAY COUNTY, MISSOURI, THIS $\underline{\mathcal{S}}$ day
Per RSMo. 50.660, I certify the unencumbered cash balance in F Fund 100 Account 6 8 0 Line Item 201 is \$ as o	und 100 is \$ and the unencumbered appropriation in f the date and time stamp below.
	·
Clay County Auditor	
APPROVED AS TO FORM AND LEGALITY: COUNTY COUNSELOR	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER
ATTEST:	
COUNTY CLERK	WESTERN COMMISSIONER
	EASTERN COMMISSIONER

Resolution# 2014-137



Clay County, Missouri

Administration Building
1 Courthouse Square ~ Liberty, MO 64068

RENEWAL AGREEMENT # 2014-137-1R RFP13-13, Benefit Broker Consulting Services

THIS **RENEWAL AGREEMENT**, MADE THIS <u>30^{t/3}</u> day of <u>July</u>, <u>2014</u> between Clay County, Missouri (hereinafter "County") and Garry & Associates Insurance Agency, Inc. (hereinafter "Service Provider").

WHEREAS, the County has caused to be prepared certain agreement documents, General Terms and Conditions, Special Conditions and/or Specifications, Request For Proposal for Cost Allocation Plan and any special clauses/addenda listed under Special Attachments below (hereinafter "Agreement Documents"), said Agreement Documents setting forth such equipment, supplies, labor and/or services to be furnished as therein fully described; and

WHEREAS, Service Provider did on the 15th day of April, 2013 file with the County their Proposal to furnish such equipment, supplies, labor and/or services, as specified; and

WHEREAS, the said Agreement Documents adequately and clearly describe the terms and conditions upon which the Service Provider is to furnish such equipment, supplies, labor and/or services as specified; and

WHEREAS, All other aspects of the original agreement will remain in affect for the duration of the agreement term stated above including any addendums and/or modifications; IT IS AGREED,

- 1. Clay County, Missouri acting through its Purchasing Agent and upon approval of the Clay County Commission does hereby accept, with modifications, if any, the first year renewal of Proposal #13-13, Garry & Associates Insurance Agency, Inc..
- 2. The Supplier's Proposal and the County's Agreement Documents are the agreement and contract between the parties hereto; that both parties hereby accept and agree to the terms and conditions of said Proposal and Agreement Documents, and that the parties continue to be bound thereby and that the compensation to be paid the Service Provider is as set forth in the Service Provider's proposal.
- 3. This first year Renewal Agreement shall be effective July 15, 2014 to July 13, 2015.
- 4. No financial obligation shall accrue against the County until Service Provider makes delivery pursuant to order of the Purchasing Agent and/or their approved designee.
- 5. This agreement may be terminated by either party upon sixty (60) days prior notice in writing to the other party. The County may terminate this agreement immediately, under breach of contract, if the Service Provider fails to perform in accordance with the terms and conditions. In the event of any termination of the agreement by the Service Provider, the County may purchase such supplies and/or services similar to those so terminated, and for the duration of the agreement period the Service Provider will be liable for all costs in excess of the established agreement pricing.
- 6. This is the first of four possible renewal periods of this Agreement. The 2014-2015 agreement pricing identified in Appendix A will be in effect for the duration of this contract term stated in paragraph 3.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have set their hands and seals the date and year first above written. The parties represent that the signatories below have full authority and authorization to sign on behalf of the respective parties.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

COUNTY OF CLAY, MISSOURI COUNTY COMMISSION

Name: Pamela S. Mason

ATTEST:

SERVICE PROVIDER:

Garry & Associates Insurance Agency, Inc. 135 E. 20th Ave.

North Kansas City, MO 64106-3412

Sheri Chapman Clerk of the County Commission

Date:

2